

Reviewer's Report Form

Dear reviewer,

Thank you for being a reviewer for the ISVS e-journal. Kindly review the paper attached and complete the review form below. Please send your comments back to the editor-in-chief at review@isvshome.com or editor@isvshome.com. We also request you to kindly complete your report within 10 days after you received the paper. Please contact the editor as soon as possible if there is any issue. Provide detailed comments and constructive suggestions.

Paper ID: ISVSej_11.XX.XX

Title of paper:

Reviewers Evaluation

Aspect	1	2	3	4	5
1. The paper investigates a significant issue					
2. The paper discusses current knowledge adequately					
3. The paper has a clear analysis and argument					
4. The paper is scientifically sound					
5. The paper makes a significant contribution to knowledge					

1. Lowest 3. Neutral 5. Highest

Specific Observations

An abstract must have three components: introduction to issue and the background, a statement of what is investigated, research methodology adopted and the conclusions.

Abstract:

1. Is the abstract written well?
2. Comments:

Introduction

1. Is the issue investigated clearly stated?
2. Is the background to this issue clearly explained?
3. Are the aims and objectives of the research well stated?
4. Other observations and comments:

Research background

1. Is there an articulated theoretical framework?
2. Does it have a good review of previous and relevant literature?
3. Other observations and comments:

Research Methodology

1. Has the research employed sound methods?
2. Are the details of the research techniques and tools clearly explained?
3. Are the details sufficient (case study introductions etc)?
4. Other observations and comments:

Findings

1. Are the findings clearly presented?
2. Have the sources of data acknowledged?
3. Are there data resulting from the method explained before?
4. Other observations and comments:

Analysis and Conclusion

1. Is there a sound analysis?
2. Has the analysis led to meaningful conclusions?
3. Do the analysis and the conclusions relate well to the research issues?
4. Does it have recommendations to address to issue
5. Other observations and comments:

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

1. Follows appropriate ethical guidelines yes [] no []
comments:
2. Has redundant and / or unnecessary parts yes [] no []
comments:
3. Has any lack of argumentation, i.e. some parts could be strengthened such that the paper is anticipated better by the ISVS audience Yes [] no []
comments:
4. Has correct citations using the Harvard style Yes [] no []
comments:
5. Spelling, grammar and the use of the language is satisfactory Yes [] no []
comments:
6. The list of references are well composed with correct details Yes [] no []
comments:

Final Decision

1. Accepted []
2. Accepted with minor revisions []
3. Accepted with major revisions []
4. Rejected []

Please save the review as 'ATej_RR_11.XX.XX and send to editor@archi-texts.com

Archi-Texts e-journal

2024

Summary of the overall observations of the paper:

(Please write your positive feed-back and general observations here, with clear instructions to revise)